

Annex B

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 2) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Ashbourne)		
Date Advertised:	3rd October 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.
X X XXXXXXXXXX XX Ashbourne	<p>Whilst X XXXXXXXXXX has no objection to the disabled parking bay being moved, X feels the new location to be wrong.</p> <p>X adds that parking in the area is already crowded and X & X neighbours currently manage to park four cars along the edge of carriageway nearest to no. 27 Ashbourne. X XXXXXXXXXX has included a plan where X suggests 2 other locations where the bay could be relocated to.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXX states that the resident who uses the disabled parking bay has had trouble with his eye site and has had the lamp column moved to assist with this. X adds that moving the disabled parking bay would take it further away from the streetlight and asks if the lamp column will be moved again.</p>	<p>The plan included in the resident's consultation letter was indicative of the proposed location. The bay will be placed on the end of the kerb line to allow for ease of parking and located so as to reduce the impact on the parking available along this length of kerbside.</p> <p>There are no plans to relocate the lighting columns at this time.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X X XXXX Ashbourne	<p>X XXXX would like to raise X objection to a proposed new area for a disabled parking bay.</p> <p>X adds that parking is a very contentious and X feels that if we move the disabled parking bay to the proposed position then it will remove 2 parking spaces for all residents.</p> <p>X XXXX states that residents are usually able to park 3 cars alongside the verge adjacent to no. 27 Ashbourne but X believes the proposed disabled bay will span over 2 of these. X adds that residents often have to park on the verges and moving the disabled bay to this position will only make things</p>	<p>The plan included in the resident's consultation letter was indicative of the proposed location. The bay will be placed on the end of the kerb line to allow for ease of parking and located so as to reduce the impact on the parking available along this length of kerbside.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	worse. X concludes by stating that one space for another is acceptable, but this proposal is not.		
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr Mrs M Temperton & Cllr P Hill have both indicated their support for the bay but also stipulated that as much parking along the kerbside adjacent to no. 27 should be maintained as possible.

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 2) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Wellington Drive)		
Date Advertised:	3rd October 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
X X XXXXXX XX Wellington Drive	<p>X XXXXXX would like to object to the proposed disabled parking bay in Wellington Drive. X adds that Wellington Drive already has limited roadside parking and this proposal would restrict it further.</p> <p>X XXXXXX asks if the existing disabled parking bay outside 11 Woolhampton Way can be moved slightly and the new bay be placed alongside it. X adds that at present there is a non useable 2m(approx.) gap between the existing disabled parking bay and a dropped kerb.</p> <p>X XXXXXX adds that parking in Woolhampton Way appears to be less of a problem as many houses have driveways and X suggestion would place the bay outside the applicants house.</p>	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>It is not possible to place a disabled parking bay to the front of the applicants house. Due to the presence of the existing pedestrian dropped kerb, to do so would require moving the existing disabled bay (outside no 11 Woolhampton Way) northwards to an extent where it would be partially across the frontage of no 9 Woolhampton Way.</p>	Proceed as advertised
X X X XXXXXXXXXX XX Wellington Drive	<p>X XXXXXXXXXX objects to the proposed disabled parking bay in Wellington Drive on the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The proposed parking space is not the nearest safest space to the footpath of no 13 Woolhampton Way; 2) There is already a disabled parking bay outside no. 11 Woolhampton Way which could simply be extended at minimal cost; 3) In the winter, this family would be required to walk a short distance round into Wellington Drive to reach their vehicle or cut across wet, frosted, or icy grass at the side of their house to shorten the walk. 	<p>The Council confirms that the applicant has met the criteria for the provision of a disabled parking bay, lives near to where the bay is proposed and this location was agreed with them.</p> <p>The existing bay currently used by the applicant will be removed resulting in no net loss of parking for other residents.</p> <p>It is not possible to place a disabled parking bay to the front of the applicants house. Due to the presence of the existing pedestrian dropped kerb, to do so would require moving the existing disabled bay (outside no 11 Woolhampton Way) northwards to an extent where it would be partially across the frontage of no 9 Woolhampton Way.</p>	Proceed as advertised

	X XXXXXXXXX states that he can see no reason why an extension to the existing disabled space at the end of their house footpath was not chosen as the most suitable option, other than an oversight.		
--	--	--	--

Local Member comments

Cllr C Turrell stated that parking on this section of Wellington Drive is relatively light and enquired if the applicant was planning to construct a path to it

The Council responded by stating they were not aware of the applicants plans but they believed the applicant was parking their vehicle in Wellington Drive at the moment due to the lack of a parking space to the front of their property in Woolhampton Way.

No comments were received from Cllr Ms A Merry or Cllr Mrs I Mattick

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Worlds End Hill)		
Date Advertised:	3rd October 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	2

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
<p>X XXXXXXXXXXXX & X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX XX Worlds End Hill</p>	<p>X XXXXXXXXXXXX & X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX have a XXXXX XXXXXX and XXXX XXXX.</p> <p>They state that their property doesn't XXXX X XXXXXXXXXXXX or an XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXX. They add that the residents car parking area is always busy with some residents having as many as 4 cars.</p> <p>They add that whilst they state they support disabled parking spaces for residents, in the current situation it will reduce the overall parking available and make it harder to find a parking space when arriving home after work.</p> <p>They suggest the Council consider converting some of the green areas or assigning a designated parking space to their property.</p>	<p>The applicants currently park their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the ever increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas, whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council, in association with Silva Homes, endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. However, there are currently no plans for additional parking in Worlds End Hill at this time.</p> <p>The off carriageway parking areas are owned and maintained by Silva Homes so any change on their use would need to directed to them.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>
<p>X X XXXXXXXX XX Worlds End Hill</p>	<p>X XXXXXXXX lives XXXXXXXX XX XXX XXXXXXXX XXXX where one of the disabled parking bays is proposed to be located.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX states that there are considerable problems gaining access to XXXXX XXXXXXXX with vehicles parking in the no parking bays and on the bend of the turning circle.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXX adds that whilst he does not have any opposition to these spaces in principle, X has concerns over where the</p>	<p>The applicants currently park their vehicles within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>As this is a statutory procedure we are unable to introduce additional restrictions other than those shown in the proposal. However, as with all waiting restriction/parking schemes the Council will monitor the highway after installation to assess if displaced vehicles do result in further obstruction or road safety</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

	<p>cars that are displaced will park.</p> <p>X XXXXXXX asks if the disabled parking bays are installed then double yellow lines are added to the no parking bay and the corner of the turning area to help maintain access to XXXX XXXXXXX.</p>	<p>problems, restrictions can be considered at that time.</p>	
--	---	---	--

Local Member comments

No comments were received from Cllr Ms S Hayes, Cllr C Dudley or Cllr M Brunel-Walker

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL (DISABLED PARKING SPACES) (PHASE 1) ORDER 2018		
	Disabled Parking Spaces (Dalcross)		
Date Advertised:	3rd October 2018	No. of objections/comments Received:	1

Objector	Summary of objection/comment	Officers Comments	Recommendation <small>Abandon/Modify/ Proceed as advertised.</small>
<p>X X X XXXXXXXXXXXXXX XX Dalcross</p>	<p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX states that the drawing is inaccurate as the existing disabled bay is shown in the wrong position.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX states that Dalcross has a serious parking issue for able bodied residents. If another parking space is designated as disabled without adding new parking then residents will be forced to park further away.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX adds that evenings and weekends the area is already congested with cars and even some from other areas of Dalcross.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX adds that X has carers arriving at different times of the day and they often have nowhere to park. X adds that emergency vehicles would struggle to get close as the parking area is so congested.</p> <p>X XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX concludes by saying that this proposal will only make matters worse without providing additional parking areas.</p>	<p>The applicant currently parks their vehicle within this area of parking and therefore the ratio between the number of vehicles and the number of parking spaces will not alter and any significant change in parking behaviour is not anticipated.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the ever increasing levels of car ownership within the Borough have resulted in parking pressures in many areas, whilst the Council does not have a duty to provide additional parking facilities it tries to help where it can with limited funding. Where parking pressures are acute, and priority can be identified, the Council endeavours to increase the number of spaces available by converting available land into additional parking spaces. Seven of additional spaces were created in Dalcross approximately 12 months ago, with plans for further spaces being refused on planning grounds. There are no plans for additional spaces at this time.</p>	<p>Proceed as advertised</p>

Local Member comments

No comments were received from Cllr Ms S Hayes, Cllr C Dudley or Cllr M Brunel-Walker